

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/518,244	12/16/2004	Kazuya Sugimura	IS-US040596	8322	
22919	7590 06/12/2006		EXAMINER		
GLOBAL IP COUNSELORS, LLP 1233 20TH STREET, NW, SUITE 700			SIPOS, JOHN		
	N, DC 20036-2680		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3721		
			DATE MAILED: 06/12/2006	DATE MAILED: 06/12/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/518,244	SUGIMURA ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		John Sipos	3721			
The MAILING DATE of Period for Reply	this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, F - Extensions of time may be available unafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing - If NO period for reply is specified above - Failure to reply within the set or extended	ROM THE MAILING DA der the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 date of this communication. , the maximum statutory period we ad period for reply will, by statute, an three months after the mailing	'IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim 11 apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from 12 cause the application to become ABANDONE 13 date of this communication, even if timely filed	I. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) Responsive to commun	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 March 2006.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL .	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance w	ith the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
Applicant may not request Replacement drawing she	is/are: a) acce that any objection to the c et(s) including the correcti	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is objection. Note the attached Office	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-89 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) Paper No(s)/Mail Date S Report and Tradepart Office S Report and Tr	wing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. '102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Claims 1,9 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. '102(e) as being anticipated by the publication to Engesser (6,904,737). The Engesser publication shows a packaging system comprising a former 10 for forming a film into a tube, longitudinal sealing means 11, transverse sealers 12 and dispersing tubes 1,6... for filling the formed film tube with different materials with the mouth of the dispersing tubes being positioned in the film tube. The specific product being placed in the film tube is given little patentable weight in apparatus claims since it is the structure that is being positively claimed. Note that the object of the Engesser publication is to mix the diverse product materials during the packaging operation rather than have the materials premixed (see column 1, lines 13 et seq. and line 61 et seq.).

Claims 1,9 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. '102(b) as being anticipated by the patent to Davis (4,769,974). The Davis patent shows a packaging system comprising a former for forming a film into a tube, longitudinal sealing means 26,28, transverse sealers 32,34 and dispersing tubes 64,66,82,84,86,94,96 for filling the formed film tube with different materials

Application/Control Number: 10/518,244

Art Unit: 3721

including a gas with the mouth of the dispersing tubes being positioned in the film tube. The specific product being placed in the film tube is given little patentable weight in apparatus claims since it is the structure that is being positively claimed. Regarding claim 4, note that the product

fill tubes 64,66 join gas fill tubes 94,96 with a single dispersing mouth.

Page 3

Claims 1,3,5,9,11 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. '102(e) as being anticipated by the publication to Rosenberg (3,719,021). The Rosenberg patent shows a packaging system comprising a former 41 for forming a film into a tube, longitudinal sealing means 46, transverse sealers 27 and dispersing tubes 43 for filling the formed film tube with different materials and gas tubes 44 for feeding a gas into the formed film tube. The dispersing and the gas tubes are vertically movable by air cylinder 45 into and out of the film tube and similarly the transverse sealers are vertically movable (see column 2, line 16 et seq.) to seal the film tube during their vertical movement. The specific product being placed in the film tube is given little patentable weight in apparatus claims since it is the structure that is being positively claimed (note lines 1-5 in column 7).

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. '103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2,6-8,10 and 14-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. '103(a) as being unpatentable over Engesser (6,904,737) or Davis (4,769,974) or Rosenberg (3,719,021).

Application/Control Number: 10/518,244 Page 4

Art Unit: 3721

The use of electrical charging means to disperse materials (claims 2 and 10), continuous filling mechanisms (claims 6,7,14 and 15) and shaking means (claims 8 and 16) are well known in the art and Examiner takes Official notice that these features are well known in the packaging art. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to provide the machine of Engesser or Davis or Rosenberg with the above features for their inherent advantages. For example, electrostatic charge aides in the dispersion and adhesion of the product, continuous filling increases the efficiency of the machine and shaking aides in the dispersing of the product.

Claims 4 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. '103(a) as being unpatentable over Engesser (6,904,737) or Davis (4,769,974) in view of Rauser (3,912,535). The patent to Rauser shows packaging system in which a film is formed into a tube 1 comprising a single filling tube 4 that is selectively connected to a source of the filling product or a source of gas for filling the film tube. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to feed a gas and the product into the package of Engesser or Davis through a single pipe as taught by Rauser to reduce the number of filling pipes extending into the film tube.

Claims 3,5,11 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. '103(a) as being unpatentable over Engesser (6,904,737) or Davis (4,769,974) in view of Rosenberg (3,719,021). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to provide the Engesser or Davis devices with vertically movable filling pipes to allow the removal of the filling pipe from the film tube and with vertically movable transverse sealers to allow the sealing of the film tube while the film tube is advancing as shown by Rosenbeg.

Art Unit: 3721

The cited prior art is made of record but has not been relied upon in the rejection of claims. However, the prior art of is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure since it shows the vertical movement of the filling pipe.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to **Examiner** John Sipos at telephone number 571-272-4468. The examiner can normally be reached from 6:30 AM to 4:00 PM Monday through Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Rinaldi Rada, can be reached at 571-272-4467.

The FAX number for U.S. Patent and Trademark Office is (571) 273-8300.

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3721